

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/085,415 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BLACK, MELVIN L. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>David L. Sorkin   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1723                 |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) David L. Sorkin.

(2) C. Douglass Thomas.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2003

**Time:** 12:30 PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*not discussed*

**Claims discussed:**

*25, 26, 31 and 32*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*not discussed*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Thomas authorized an examiner's amendment changing the word "method" to the phrase - - concrete recycling machine - - in each of claims 25, 26, 31 and 32 to clarify that all pending claims are directed to a machine, not a method.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

David Sorkin 2/17/04  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)